

John T. Gangemi, Conservation Director 482 Electric Avenue. Bigfork, MT 59911 igangemi@digisys.net

## **ElectronicFiling**

January15,2003

MagalieR.Salas Secretary FederalEnergyRegulatoryCommission 888FirstStreet,N.E. Washington,D.C.20426

Re:ClearwaterCreekHydroelectricProject,FERCProjectNo.11495 -000

DearSecretarySalas:

Enclosed forfilingintheabovereferencedproceedingisAmericanWhitewater's MOTIONFORDISMISSALOFLICENSEAPPLICATION.Alsoenclosedisthe CertificateofServicefortheabovereferencedmatter.Copiesofthisfilinghavebeen servedonallpartiesofreco rdtothisproceeding.

Thankyouforyourassistance.Pleasecontactmeifyouhaveanyquestionsor needadditionalinformation.

Sincerely,

JohnT.Gangemi ConservationDirector

cc: JeffShalfant,WhatcomCountyShorelineAdministrator

TimothyLooney,FERC

RichardBowers, American Whitewater

## UNITEDSTATESOFAMERICA FEDERALENERGYREGULATORYCOMMISSION

NooksackRiverHydroInc.	)		
ClearwaterCreekHydroelectricProject	)	FERCProjectNo.11495	-000
Washington	)		

# MOTIONFORDISMISSALOFORIGINAL(UNCONSTRUCTED) LICENSEAPPLICATIONBY AMERICANWHITEWATERAFFILIATION

Due to the applicant's failure to act in good faith regarding this proceeding, and to the projected negative net economic benefits (identified in the June 2002 FEIS) and the adverse environmental and recreational impacts associated with this project, American Whitewater requests that the Commission dismiss Nooksack River Hydro Inc.'s license application for the Clearwater Creek Project.

In addition, based on Balaton Power Inc.'s (parent company for Nooksack River Hydro Inc., Warm Creek Hydro Inc., and four other small and as yet un -constructed projects in Northwestern Washington) current economic condition and failure to communicate in good faith with the Commission or other interested parties on each of these projects, we request that the Commission likewise dismiss similar pending license applications for the following projects:

- 1) Anderson Creek Hydroelectric Project (P -10416) Washington Hydro DevelopmentCompany
- 2) IreneCreekHydroelectricProject(P -10100)CascadeRiverHydroInc.
- 3) MartinCreekHydroelectricProject(P -10942)SkykomishRiverHydroInc.
- 4) RockyCreekHydroelectricProject(P -10311)SkagitRiverHydroInc.
- 5) WarmCreekHydroelectricProject( P-10865),WarmCreekHydroInc.

## I. ClearwaterCreek

By letter dated December 18, 2002, the Commission granted Nooksack River Hydro Inc.'s request for a 60 day extension of time to file a status report on the Whatcom County Shoreline Permit for the Clearwat er Creek Hydropower Project under P -11495. This letter was in response to Nooksack River Hydro's request of November 7, 2002 and established a new response date to be filed with the Secretary of the Commission no later

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FederalEnergyRegulatoryCommission'sRulesofPracticeandProcedure,18C.F.R.6.3.guides dismissalforlicensees,butthereisnovisiblerulingpertainingdirectlytolicenseapplicantsregardingthe failuret oactingoodfaith.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SeeCityofSeattleetal,44FERC61,181at61,636(1988);CityofRedding,55FERC62,012 (1991).

than January 10, 2003. <sup>3</sup> In its response, Nooksack River Hydro was to provide the followinginformation:

- Estimated time frame for completion of processing. 1)
- 2) A copy of any correspondence Nooksack River Hydro had with Whatcom Countyandanyresponsefromthecounty.
- A list of any impediments y ou foresee to completing processing of your 3) shorelinepermitapplication.
- 4) Your plan for overcoming any impediments (identified in number 3) to completing processing of your shoreline permit application.

OnMonday, January 13, 2003, American Whitewater con tactedTimothyLooney,FERC Project Manager for the Clearwater and Warm Creek projects, and learned that the Commissionhasreceived no response to date from the applicant regarding this permitor the above listed information. Further, on Friday, January 1 0th, at 2:06p.mPST (5:06 4, Whatcom County Shoreline EST), American Whitewater contacted Jeff Shalfant Administrator, who stated that he has never been contacted by Nooksack River Hydro or Balaton Power regarding a possible shoreline permit for this projec t. Clearly, the applicant has made no attempt to contact What com County let alone request a shoreline permit. The applicant is acting in bad faith under the terms of their license application. Assuch, their applications hould be terminated.

#### II. CommonIssu esofFactforeachApplication

Balaton Power Inc., which owns all of the issued and outstanding securities of Warm <sup>5</sup> appears to be in dire economic Creek Hydro, Inc., and Nooksack River Hydro, Inc., health. Project concerns were discussed directly with the Commission on December 10, 2001 in the Hydro Licensing Status Workshop held in Washington DC. At that time, Commissioner Brownell asked, "what it is that motivates you (the applicant) to keep going"(inaprojectthiscomplex). The company's own conc ernover"inadequatecashto maintain operations" and the possibility that they "may have to suspend or cease operations" was listed in their 2001 Annual Report. The company's lack of activity on all of these projects, and especially their failure to make a simple phone call to move forward on the Clearwater Shoreline Permit (pending since 1992), indicates that they do not have the resources needed to pursue the requirements of these licenses, let alone completetheprojects.

Balaton's economic situation was also a major issue of concern during the recent November 8, 2002 Hydro Licensing Status Workshop also held in Washington, DC. In the transcripts for this meeting, the discussion revolved around Balaton Power's "restructuring," "downsizing," and that it was in the midst of laying off staff (including

The Commissions original request for an update on the shoreline permit was dated October 11,2002.

BothTimothyLooneyandJef fShalfantwerecontactedbyphonebyRichBowers,forAmerican Whitewater.

According to the transcripts of the November 8,2002 Hydro Licensing Status Workshop, Dept. of EcologystatedthatitwastheirunderstandingthatBalatonPower"actuallynowow nstheinterestinall thosesmallprojectsproposedupinNorthwesternWashington."

AmericanWhitewater,Motion forDismissalofLicenseApplication January15,2003 4

the Clearwater project manager). <sup>6</sup> It was recommended in this meeting that the Commission"getsomesortofdefinitivestatementfromtheapplicantastowhetherthey areinapositiontocontinuepursuing these projects. <sup>7</sup>

Commission staff responded that they would need to revisit that (position to pursue projects) at the first of the year with the applicants for all of these projects. It was also stated that if "they (the applicants) can't work through i t, if they don't have the means, then we'll be in a position to may be recommend to the Commission that we dismiss these applications."

Based on a FERRIS docket review, and to the best of our knowledge, the Commission has had no communications with the ap plicant on any of these projects since the December meeting. Prior to this meeting, the only communication from the applicants (Balaton Power Inc.) throughout the final months of last year were response to comments (Anderson Creek and Irene Creek) and requests for extensions on the Commissions deadlines. To date the applicant shave failed to meet all new deadlines established by the Commission. Each of the Commission's communications regarding over due updates or missed deadlines have acted as a notice of deficiency to Balaton Power Inc., and have provided an adequate opportunity to correct.

Allowing these license applications to perpetuate in the absence of compliance with regulatory procedures, coupled with the financial uncertainty, begins to border on site banking. The procedural requirements for preliminary permits and license applications are specifically designed to avoid site banking. These proposed hydropower projects must be required to comply with the regulatory procedures or forfeit their license applications.

It is American Whitewater's fear that, not having the resources to pursue even the requirements of this license, the applicants will not have the resources necessary to pay for the recommended mitigation and enhancement measures -- let alone future

8 Transcriptp.108,13 -22

Theseissues, restructuring, downsizing, the loss of keyknowledge able personnel, and the fact that project records are currently instorage, were substantiated in the November 12,2002 letter from Nooksack River Hydrorequesting a 60 day extension for an update on the Clear water Creekshore line project. This is the last documented correspondence from Balaton Power Inc. or any of its affiliated companies.

November 8,2002HydroLicensingStatusWorkshopTranscript,p.107,15 -19

InitsOctober16,2002letter,WarmCreekHydroInc.(WarmCreek)statedthatitlackedthe resourcestoprovideanupdateonitswaterqualitycertification. ByletterdatedNovember6,2002,the CommissiongrantedanextensionuntilDecember18,2002.OnOctober3,2002theCommission requestedthatCascadeRiverHydroInc.(IreneCreek)provideastatusreportontheireffortstoobtaina ShorelinePermitw ithin30days.OnDecember2,2002theCommissionrequestedthatSkykomishRiver HydroInc.,c/oBalatonPowerInc.,(MartinCreek)fileitsrequestforSection401waterquality certificationwiththeDept.ofEcologybyJanuary1,2003.Todatethereh asbeennodocumentedresponse toanyofthesethreedeadlines.OnOctober11,2002,inaresponsetotheCommission'sinformation request, SkagitRiverHydroInc.(RockyCreek) explained that access to the site, necessary to obtain a ShorelinePermitApp lication, was restricted. "Then extstep for SRH, once it receives the anticipated writtenrequest, will be to provide the County with the formal authorization to transfer the existing applicationmaterialstoanewShorelinePermit."Nonextstephasbee nforthcomingsofar.Allofthese projectsarenowunderBalatonPowerInc.

environmental and recreational responsibilities that will occur should the licenses be granted. Far better to dismiss the applications now, before construction begins which willdamagetheresourceandplaceanevengreaterfinancial and wo rkload burden on the applicant and other interested parties and agencies.

Finally, poor fiscal health is not a deficiency that Balaton Power can readily fix. Each of these projects are well into the application process (over 10 years for Clearwater and Warm Creek), and the applicant cannot hold onto these applications in the hope that this fiscal health will improve in the future. Based on the record, it would appear that the applicant cannot correct the numerous deficiencies facing each of these project s, and therefore the Commission cannot approve the licenses.

## III. Conclusion

For all of the foregoing reasons, American Whitewater respectfully requests that staff move forward on their December determination and recommend that the Commission dismisstheClea rwaterCreekandotherapplicationsheldbyNooksackRiverHydroInc., WarmCreekHydroInc., andothercompaniesheldbyBalatonPowerInc.

ThemailingaddressesforAmericanWhitewaterare:

JohnT.Gangemi, Conservation Director 482 Electric Ave. Bigfork, MT59911 Phone/fax: 406 - 837-3155/3156 Email: jgangemi@digisys.net

ThomasC.O'Keefe
WashingtonRegionalCoordinator
AmericanWhitewater
3537NE87 <sup>th</sup>St.
Seattle,WA98115
Email:okeefe@riversandcreeks.com

Dated:January15,2003

RespectfullySubmit ted,

John T. Gangemi Thomas O'Keefe
Conservation Director Regional Coordinator
American Whitewater American Whitewater

# UNITEDSTATESOFAMERICA FEDERALENERGYREGULATORYCOMMISSION

NooksackRiverHydroInc.	)	FERCProjectNo.11495	-000
ClearwaterCreekHydroelectricProject	)		
CERTIFIC	CATEOFS	SERVICE	
IherebycertifythatIhavethisdayse	rvedthefo	oregoingdocumentuponeach	
persondesignatedontheofficialservicelist	compiled	bytheSecretaryinthisproceed	ding.
Datedthis 15 <sup>th</sup> dayofJanuary,2003.			
CarlaMiner			

ConservationAssistant

AmericanWhitewater,Motion forDismissalofLicenseApplication January15,2003 7

## ServiceListforP -11495

### NooksackRiverHydroInc.

Principal/PartyName/Address

American Whitewater Affiliation

NooksachRiverHydroInc.

DanPfeiffer NooksackRiverHydroInc. 1197WMainSt Boise,ID83702 -5630

LisaMcShane NorthwestEcoSystemAlliance 1421CornwallAveSte201 Bellingham,WA98225 -4547

StanleySpeaks RegionalDirector USBureauofI ndianAffairs U.S.DepartmentoftheInterior 911NE11thAve Portland,OR97232 -4128

NolanShishido Attorney USDepartmentoftheInterior OFFICEOFTHEREGIONALSOLICTOR

500NEMultnomahStSte607 Portland,OR97232 -2036

WashingtonDept.ofEcology

WashingtonDept.ofFish&Wildlife

HUGHLEWIS WashingtonTrout POBo x5226 Bellingham,WA98227 -5226 RepresentativeName/Address

JohnTGangemi ConservationDirector AmericanWhitewaterAffiliation

482ElectricAve Bigfork,MT59911 -3641

DeborahAHowe HoweConsulting,Inc. 1515NW167thSt Seattle,WA98177 -3852

FrankWFriskJr. Frisk,FrankW.,Jr. AttorneyatLaw 105431stStNWSte125 Washington,DC20007 -6047

DaveFrederick Supervisor U.S.Fish&WildlifeService 510DesmondDrSESte102 Lacey,WA98503 -1292

RegionalDirector U.S.Fish&WildlifeService Attn:FERCCoordinator 911NE11thAve Portland,OR97232 -4169

DeborahL.Mull Assistant AttorneyGeneral WashingtonOfficeofAttorneyGeneral POBox40117

Olympia, WA 98504 - 0117

NeilL.Wise

WashingtonOfficeofAttorneyGeneral

POBox40100

Olympia,WA98504 -0100

Submission Contents		
P11495Motionfordismissal.doc		

200301155031 Received FERC OSEC 01/15/2003 04:14:00 PM Docket# P-11495-000